, , , , , ,

SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE

ANYAWAN DUPONT, Applicant, was called as a witness on her own behalf, having been first duly sworn through the Thai Interpreter, also having been sworn, testified substantially as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. CHAMPON:

The witness Anyawan Dupont is a native speaker of the Thai language. She speaks English better now than she did in 2007. She arrived in the United States in March of 2004.

Before her husband Jack Dupont died, she spoke to him in Thai. Jack is of Thai ancestry.

Jack Dupont was employed at CR England on July 5, 2007. He was employed for less than two years before that date. As far as the witness knows, Jack Dupont never missed work at CR England.

The witness was in Thailand on July 5, 2007. She received a telephone call from her husband informing her that he had an accident.

The witness traveled from the U.S. to Thailand on June 23rd, 2007 to attend her mother's funeral. At first she received no calls from her husband because she was at the temple with her mother's body. She did not call her husband because the rates to place a call from the United States were less expensive than a call which originated in Thailand.

In a telephone call from Jack to the witness he complained of head pain. He said he fell off a truck. It was late in the morning when he called. There's a 15-hour time difference between the U.S. and Thailand. He said he fell at CR England when he was pulling a jack. The jack had to be removed from the refrigerator section of the truck so that the refrigerator could be left at a delivery location. When Jack fell it was at night. The fall was not witnessed because it was dark outside. The injured worker fell on the CR England property which has a very wide lot. Jack told the witness that after he fell he lost consciousness and did not know how long he was unconscious. He drove himself home upon awakening. The witness told Jack to contact a family

member to take him to the doctor. The witness later learned that Dan, his sister, took him for medical attention. 2 In a telephone call 5 to 7 days later, Jack said he 3 was in the hospital. The witness tried to get an earlier flight back to the United States but was unable to do so. 4 5 to 7 days later, the witness and Jack had a telephone conversation. He said he could not sleep in the 5 hospital because another patient was crying so he went home. б The witness returned to the United States on July 28, 7 She noticed something different about her husband. He would begin speaking to her and then lose track of what he was saying. 8 9 After the witness returned to the United States, Jack spent more time in the hospital. He complained of headaches three to four times per week after the July 5, 2007 10 accident. He did not complain of headaches before. 11 Jack drank beer each day before bedtime. He drank only beer and not any other alcoholic beverages. 12 Jack did not return to work after July 5, 2007. 13 witness found employment after her return from Thailand because the family had no other source of income. 14 15 The witness works at Thai Tea. She left home at 10:00 a.m. and typically arrived home at 11:00 p.m. 16 worked 6 days per week. 17 On the day of Jack's death, the witness and Jack went to the market together to buy groceries. The witness took Jack home and then went to work at approximately 10:00 a.m. 18 and returned at approximately 11:00 p.m. Upon her return, she found her husband lying face down in bed. His body was 19 already stiff. She didn't call 911 because she did not speak English. She called her husband's mother. An 20 ambulance and police came to the applicant's house. 21 The witness knows that Jack drank on the day he died. She saw 2 beer cans on the table. One can was about half 22 full and the other can was not opened. 23 The withes's arranged for and paid for her husband's 24 funeral. 111 25 111

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. ZAYLIA:

The witness testified that her husband did not drink at work. The witness testified that when her husband was not working, he spent his time at home. She testified that she spent her time at home.

The witness and her deceased husband typically bought 30 cans of beer at a time. They purchased beer one time every two weeks.

The deceased worker did not have a history of seizures as far as the witness knows.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. CHAMPON:

The witness was not present during an incident at a gas station when a motorcycle fell on her husband's legs. 'As far as the witness knows, her husband lost consciousness because of the heat. He said it was very hot and he fainted.

The truck driven by the deceased worker was connected to a refrigerator trailer by a jack which provides electrical supply. The witness testified that her husband told her that the jack was rusty.

The witness testified that she and her husband bought 30 cans of beer together. He did not buy beer without his wife. They always went to the market together.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. ZAYLIA;

The witness testified that she knows how the jack works because her husband talked to her about it. Also, she could see him connect and disconnect the jack through the back window of the truck. The witness accompanied her husband as a passenger while he drove the truck for CR England. She would be present the entire shift.

The witness does not know if her husband suffered from any liver problems. He went to a doctor because one of his legs became swollen. The witness did not know the reason why his leg became swollen.

FURTHER DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. CHAMPON:

The witness testified that to connect or remove the jack the injured worker had to climb up the truck. The witness never saw a rusted area on the jack. Her husband

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DIVISION OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION

2

3

4

5

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

42

23

25

told her that he fell because he had to pull harder than usual on the jack because it was rusted. PETER H. HINK WORKERS' COMPENSATION ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

Scanned on 6/13/2014 9:34:02 AM by Hanna Brophy

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS DIVISION OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION

06-11-2014

PROOF OF SERVICE FOR 6/2/14 MINUTES OF HEARING SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE (Reporter)

Case Number: ADJ3550549

ANYAWAN DUPONT

Dependent, 1352 W 5TH ST APT C23 ONTARIO CA 91762

ANYAWAN DUPONT

Other Applicant, 1352 W 5TH ST APT C23 ONTARIO CA 91762

BROADSPIRE SACRAMENTO

Insurance Company, PO BOX 14352 LEXINGTON KY 40512

C.R. ENGLAND, INC.

Employer, 4701 W 2100 S SALT LAKE CITY UT 84120

HANNA BROPHY LOS ANGELES

Law Firm, PO BOX 12488 OAKLAND CA 94604

INTO CHAMPON ALHAMBRA

Legacy Law Firm, DECEASED, 1909 W VALLEY BLVD ALHAMBRA CA 91803, INTO@INTOLAW.COM

INTO CHAMPON & ASSOC.

Law Firm, 1909 W. VALLEY BOULEVARD, ALHAMBRA, CA 91803

I declare under penalty of perjury that I am over age 18, not a party in this proceeding, and am employed by the State of California, DWC, Los Angeles, District Office of the WCAB, located at 320 W. 4th Street, Los Angeles, CA 90013. On 6/11/14, I emailed or deposited in the United States mail at 320 W. 4th Street, Los Angeles, CA 90013, a sealed envelope containing a copy of the 6/2/14 Minutes of Hearing Summary of Evidence (Reporter) with postage fully paid, addressed to the party or parties listed above.

Signed by: Signed by: